

Health and Sustainability of Open Source Software from a Public Sector Perspective

JOHAN LINÅKER, PHD



Slide #1 | @johanlinaker



#### About Johan

Slide #2 | @johanlinaker

### Open Source Software Sustainability



- L 1

 An Open Source Software project's capability to stay maintained at length without interruption or weakening

Slide #3 | @johanlinaker

Photo by Jan Piatkowski | https://unsplash.com/photos/eopz9bkwROs

### Open Source Software Health

- An Open Source Software project's ability to stay viable over time
  - Productivity: There is an active development of the project
  - Robustness: The development is open and spread out on several (independent) individuals
  - Openness: Users of the project can influence and contribute to the development of the project



### Open Source Software and our Digital Infrastructure



- Open Source Software makes up a vitale building block in our digital infrastructure
- Needs maintenance as with physical infrastructure to stay secure and robust

Slide #5 | @johanlinaker



### Open Source Software and our Digital Infrastructure



- Recommended reading by Nadia Eghbal
  - Roads and Bridges
  - Working in Public: The Making and Maintenance of Open Source Software



Slide #6 | @johanlinaker

Photo by Modestas Urbonas | https://unsplash.com/photos/vj\_9l20fzj0

### Open Source Software and our Digital Infrastructure





### The Dualism of Quality



- Open Source Software is...
  - full of, or receptible to,
     vulnerabilities ready to be exploited
  - always more secure than proprietary alternatives

Slide #8 | @johanlinaker

Photo by Elena Rouame | https://unsplash.com/photos/9JU2CKqtw0M

Example: Heartbleed



#### 

 A bug introduced into the OpenSSL cryptography library

*\_\_\_\_\_* 

001100

0001110

010010

- Used in a large part of the world's connected devices
- Introduced in 2012, fixed in 2014
- Enabled access to private identification keys
- Was maintained by two individuals at time of introduction while used by (almost) everyone

Photo by Alexander Sinn | https://unsplash.com/photos/KgLtFCgfC28



### The "Many-Eyes" effect



- Also known as Linus' law  $\rightarrow$
- "Given enough eyeballs, all bugs are shallow"
- Requires that enough eyeballs actually reaches the codebase

Slide #10 | @johanlinaker

Photo by Frida Bredesen | https://unsplash.com/photos/c1fFv08N7qE

### Development Resources are Depletable



- Maintainers are humans, not robots
  - Burnout, changed family or working conditions
- Companies must adapt to stay competitive
  - Refactorization, new products, changed business model



# Who's responsible for ensuring the SW quality?



- Maintainer(s)?
- Developer community?
- User community?
- Individuals vs. Companies vs. Government?

Slide #12 | @johanlinaker

Photo by Scott Blake | https://unsplash.com/photos/x-ghf9LjrVg



## Open Source Software in the Public Sector



- Challenged by lack of culture, knowledge and resources
- Acquisition and public procurement key to adoption and development of open source software
- Need for support and direction

Slide #13 | @johanlinaker

Photo by Marco Oriolesi | https://unsplash.com/photos/wqLGlhjr6Og

### The OSPO-approach: Example from Italy



- National and regional competence centers → Government Open Source Program Offices
- Law requiring use and release of Open Source Software
- Decision model and guidelines for how to evaulate and compare open source software, including:
  - "the viability of the open source project, through the assessment of visible indicators on the repository, such as code activity, release history, user community, longevity of the project, number of unique developers."
- See: https://docs.italia.it/italia/developers-italia/glacquisition-and-reuse-software-for-pa-docs/en/ stabile/index.html

Slide #14 | @johanlinaker

Photo by Mark Tegethoff | https://unsplash.com/photos/l-GmdF7Md0o



### The Foundation-approach: Example from Denmark



- OS2 formal collaboration between majority of Danish municipalities → Government foundation
- Projects initiated by one or multiple municipalities, developed through procurement
- Ecosystem of 60+ vendors and service suppliers
- Established governance and collaboration models for new open source software projects
- See: https://os2.eu/

Slide #15 | @johanlinaker

Photo by Nick Karvounis | https://unsplash.com/photos/3\_ZGrsirryY



### The Network-approach: Example from Sweden



- Network for Open Source and Data
- Network for public entities sharing knowledge and growing culture on the use and collaboration of open source software and open data
- Monthly workshops with 80-120 participants with diverse representation
- Software catalouge of open source software used by Swedish public entities
- Do what we can we available time and resources
- See: https://nosad.se

Photo by Inès d'Anselme | https://unsplash.com/photos/IAe4R\_RiB08

Slide #16 | @johanlinaker

# Health Assessment in Acquisition process



- Is the project secure, i.e., viable longterm?
- Enable comparance between open and closed alternatives

Slide #17 | @johanlinaker

Photo by Miguel Teirlinck | https://unsplash.com/photos/UreG3TJEpiQ

### Health Assessment of Dependent Projects





- Identify projects in need of support where health is low or at risk
- Proactive risk management and security work
- (or just being a good open source citizen)

Slide #18 | @johanlinaker

Photo by Miguel Teirlinck | https://unsplash.com/photos/UreG3TJEpiQ

### Need for general tools and process support

11111111

...criteria that can be used to evaluate software security, include criteria to evaluate the security practices of the developers and suppliers themselves..."

https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/ 2021/05/12/executive-order-on-improving-the-nations-cybersecurity/

Slide #19 | @johanlinaker

Photo by Tabrez Syed | https://unsplash.com/photos/dbc9DGSJzKo



- Community Health Analytics for Open Source Software (CHAOSS)
- Framework with metrics for health analysis and assessments
  Five focus areas
  - Value \*\*\* - Risk \*\*\*
  - Evolution
  - Diversity and Inclusion

Common
 See: https://chaoss.community

Slide #20 | @johanlinaker

Photo by Luke Chesser | https://unsplash.com/photos/JKUTrJ4vK00



Photo by Bilgin Ibryam | https://monetize.substack.com/p/a-framework-for-open-source-evaluation



## A qualitative approach to health assessment



- Legal Ownership of copyright, type of license
- Governance Openness for influence and appointment
- Accessability Comunication and development
- Diversity Users and developers
- Professionell support Variety and types of services
- Social activity Comunication and development
- Development activity Technical and non-technical
- Quality Testcases, documentation, process etc.
- Based on https://CHAOSS.community and https://www.redhat.com/en/resources/open-source-p roject-health-checklist

Slide #22 | @johanlinaker



### Lessons Learned from Practice

- For sourcing and acquisition processes of strategic software
  - Comprehensive and thorough process
  - Easy to maintain overview
  - Qualitative focus
  - Evaluation support

#### Final takeaways

- Health and sustainability of open source software is key to a secure and robust digital infrastructure
- Public sector (and everyone else) should consider what responsibility they have in terms of contributing to health of central open source software projects
- Public entities need support and direction in how they can use and develop open source software
- Health assessment should be integrated as a key practice in public acquisition processes



