

Meeting Minutes: Working Session one on the Interoperability Assessment Vocabulary (07/05)

Project:	SEMIC	Date and Time:	09/04/2025 11:00 - 12:00
Meeting Type:	Working Session	Location:	Virtual
Coordinators:	Sander Van Dooren Emiel Dhondt	Issue Date:	13/05/2025

Agenda of the webinar	
Introduction and Workshop Practicalities	Slides 1-2
Webinar Objectives and Introductions	Slides 3-4
Review of Submitted Use Cases	Slides 5-10
Interactive Exercises	Slides 11-14
Next steps	Slide 15-16

Meeting Slides
LINK

Full Meeting Minutes

Introduction and Workshop Practicalities Slides 1-2 Speakers: Emiel Dhondt	<u>Introduction</u> The meeting was introduced as a business workshop focused on understanding community needs for updating a model. The scope was changed from the previous discussion due to insufficient momentum. There was a consensus that the community's needs were greater than what was shared on GitHub issues.
Webinar Objectives and Introductions Slides 3-4 Speakers: Emiel Dhondt	<u>Webinar Objectives</u> The goal was to identify community needs to ensure the maintenance track addresses real issues rather than updating the model for its own sake. Participants were encouraged to share their use cases and situations to gather new use cases and ensure the model is fit for purpose.

<p>Review of Submitted Use Cases</p> <p>Slides 5-10</p> <p>Speaker: Emiel Dhondt</p>	<p><u>Review of Submitted Use Cases</u></p> <p>Emiel reviewed use cases submitted on GitHub, including sharing assessments via API, automatic submission as part of lawmaking, repository of best practice examples, and cascading legislation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sharing Assessments via API: The need for the data model to support sharing assessments from national portals over an API was highlighted. This includes automatic submission as part of a unified lawmaking process. The importance of unique identifiers for elements to avoid data contamination was emphasized. • Repository of Best Practice Examples: The idea of having a repository of best practice examples was discussed. This repository would contain assessments deemed valuable for other member states, helping them learn from each other. The criteria for an assessment to be considered a best practice example and the metadata required to highlight standout parts were considered. • Cascading Legislation: The cascading effect of European legislation resulting in implementing acts and national adoptions was mentioned. The need for the data model to connect assessments related to cascading legislation was discussed, with a suggestion to look into European Legislative Identifiers (ELI) for structuring this connection. • Procurement Documents: The context under which interoperability assessments can be born, including procurement specifications, was discussed. The need to classify assessments according to their context, such as regional law or procurement documents, was considered.
<p>Interactive Exercises</p> <p>Slides 11-14</p> <p>Speakers: Emiel Dhondt</p>	<p><u>Interactive Whiteboard Exercise</u></p> <p>Participants engaged in an interactive whiteboard exercise to describe their scenarios and use cases, and to identify the information needed for these use cases.</p> <p>Discussed uses cases and topics include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Linking IOP assessments with public service feedback to evaluate policy effectiveness. • Interest in a data model for publishing assessments both nationally and on the Interoperable Europe (IE) Portal. • Automatically publishing IOP assessments as a national portal maintainer. • Analytics on interoperability within EU member states in relation

	<p>to EU legislation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitoring possibilities by building statistics based on country, organizational, or administrative level data. • Interest in reusing existing vocabularies. • A data model that enables objective assessments and the relations of results in various dimensions. • Monitoring uptake through IOP assessment reports. • Potential use cases for data fields related to remaining barriers in process implementations. • Importance of context, organization providing assessment, connection to relevant legislation, and the context of the assessments. • Looking into better links to concrete stakeholders and identifier systems for public administrations.
<p>Next steps</p> <p>Slide 15-16</p> <p>Speaker: Emiel Dhondt</p>	<p><u>Practicalities for next meeting</u></p> <p>The next planned meeting is scheduled for the 5th of June.</p> <p>The meeting will be a 2-hour session from 10:00 to 12:00 Brussels time.</p> <p><u>Contributing via GitHub</u></p> <p>Emiel encouraged participants to continue using the GitHub issue page for further discussion.</p>