

## Meeting Minutes – Webinar 20/05/2021

### Core Vocabularies 2.0 Webinar #2

Project	Action 2016-07 Promoting semantic interoperability amongst the EU Member States	Meeting Date/Time	20/05/2021 10:00-12:00 PM (GMT+1)
Meeting Type	Webinar	Meeting Location	Cisco Webex Meetings
Meeting Coordinator	Makx Dekkers	Issue Date	30/06/2021

#### Meeting Agenda

1. Welcome
2. Context of the revision process of the Core Vocabularies
3. Proposed changes to the Core Vocabularies
  - a. Core Location Vocabulary
  - b. Core Public Organisation Vocabulary
4. Wrap-up

**Presentation and meeting details:** <https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/collection/semantic-interoperability-community-semic/event/core-vocabularies-version-200-second-webinar>




Attendee Name		Organisation/Country
Alexandre Beaufays	AB	PwC
Alexandros Gerontas	AG	Greece
Ana Rosa	AR	Spain
Anna Ingram	AI	Denmark
Bart Hanssens	BH	Belgium
Bernard Dubuisson	BD	Belgium
Bert Van Nuffelen	BVN	Tenforce
Brecht Van de Vyvere	BVDV	imec

Cécile Guasch	CG	European Commission
Danica Saponja	DS	Slovenia
Despina Plevria	DP	Greece
Dimitri Schepers	DS	Cronos
Elena Kapantai	EK	
Enric Staromiejski	ES	Everis
Erik Hagen	EH	Norway
Eva Christina Andersson	ECA	Sweden
Evelien Dhollander	ED	Belgium
Florian Barthélemy	FB	PwC
Franck	?	?
Giampaolo Sellitto	GS	TOOP
Giorgia Lodi	GL	ISTC - CNR Italy
Giovanna Scaglione	GS <sup>2</sup>	Italy
Ioannis Konstantinidis	IK	
Ivan Penava	IP	Croatia
Jakub Klímek	JK	Czechia
Jerry Dimitriou	JD	TOOP
Jim Yang	JY	Norway
Jing Guo	JG	
Jorge Sousa	JS	Portugal

Jose Pedro Revenga	JPR	Spain
Naho Kitano	NK	Japan
Konstantinos Raptis	KR	UPRC
Lyubomir Blagoev	LB	Bulgaria
Makx Dekkers	MD	Independant / Spain
Marco Pedro	MP	Portugal
Natalie Muric	NM	European Commission
Nikos Vasilakis	NV	GRNET
Norman Calleja	NC	Malta
Olivier De Bondt	OD	Belgium
Paula Kolenko	PK	
Pavlina Fragkou	PF	European Commission
Peter Bruhn Andersen	PBA	Denmark
Peter Winstanley	PW	Semanticarts
Rob Walker	RW	
Sander Van Dooren	SVD	Belgium
Sebastian SklarB	SS	Germany
Seth van Hooland	SVH	European Commission
SST	?	?
Sven Rasmussen	SR	Denmark
Sven-Erik Ceedigh	SEC	Sweden

Tamas Demeter	TD	Hungary
Tarja Myllymäki	TM	Finland
Thashmee Karunaratne	TK	Sweden
Thomas D'haenens	TD <sup>2</sup>	Belgium

**Summary of the meeting**

Topic	Summary
<b>Welcome</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Seth van Hooland (SVH) opened the webinar by welcoming the participants and presented the objectives of the webinar.</li> </ul>
<b>Context of the revision process of the Core Vocabularies</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pavlina Fragkou (PF) provided a quick reminder about the revision process of the Core Vocabularies and specified that this webinar will be dedicated to the Core Location and Core Public Organisation Vocabularies.</li> </ul>
<b>Proposed changes to the Core Location Vocabulary</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Dimitri Schepers (DS) introduced the different types of issues that will be discussed during the webinar and presented the diagram with the proposed changes included. <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 10px; margin: 10px 0;"> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: center;"> <div style="background-color: white; color: #0070C0; padding: 5px 10px; border-radius: 5px;">Alignment with external models</div>  </div> </div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <b>Improved definition of Location based on SDG WP4:</b>  <b>Previous definition:</b> "A <i>spatial region</i> or named place."  <b>New definition:</b> "An <i>identifiable geographic place</i> or named place."</li> <li> <b>Improved definition of Address based on SDG WP4:</b>  <b>Previous definition:</b> "Representation of an address spatial object for use in external application schemas that need to include the basic, address information in a <i>readable way</i>."  <b>New definition:</b> "A spatial object that in a <i>human-readable</i> way identifies a fixed location of a property."</li> </ul> </li> <li>Peter Winstanley (PS) inquired if ad hoc / unusual situations are taken into account by the model? Makx Dekkers (MD) answered that the Address class, that is based on INSPIRE, allows for quite some flexibility.</li> <li>Rob Walker (RW) reacted to the proposed definition by mentioning that an address is not a spatial object but the representation of a spatial object. On the contrary, Giorgia Lodi (GL) answered that an address is perceived as a feature according to GeoSPARQL ontology, and therefore can be considered as a spatial object. Alexandros Gerontas (AG) proposed to first <b>define what a spatial object is</b> in order to clarify this situation.</li> </ul>

## Alignment with external models




### Improved definition of Address.locatorDesignator based on INSPIRE

**Previous definition:** "A number or a sequence of characters *that uniquely identifies the locator* within the relevant scope(s). The full identification of the locator could include one or more locator designators."

**New definition:** "A number or a sequence of characters *which allows a user or an application to interpret, parse and format the locator* within the relevant scope. A locator may include more locator designators."

- There was an agreement on the proposition displayed.

## Alignment with external models



### Improved definition of Address.administrativeUnitLevel1 based on INSPIRE

**Previous definition:** "The uppermost administrative unit for the address, almost always a country."

**New definition:** "The uppermost level of name or names of a unit of administration related to the exercise of jurisdictional rights, for local, regional and national governance. This almost always represents a country".

### Improved definition of Address.administrativeUnitLevel2 based on INSPIRE



**Previous definition:** "The region of the address, usually a county, state or other such area that typically encompasses several localities."

**New definition:** "The second uppermost level of name or names of a unit of administration related to the exercise of jurisdictional rights, for local, regional and national governance. Level 2 refers to the region of the address, usually a county, state or other such area that typically encompasses several localities."


- Anna Ingram (AI) wondered if the Core Event Vocabulary allows the identification of virtual locations. GL reminded that only physical locations are tackled in this vocabulary. Ana Rosa (AR) agreed with GL's intervention but inquired if administrative units were more related to address or location, challenging the fact that administrative units could benefit from jurisdictional rights properties. She proposed to put jurisdictional rights as a separate concept.
- Sebastian Sklarß (SS) expressed the need to have more than just two administrative levels (country and region levels) to express jurisdictional rights. SS added that Germany previously used generic geopolitical coding in the context of [DCAT-AP\\_DE](#) to address this issue. GL and AR agreed that additional layers should be included to cover municipalities, regions, etc. An agreement has been made to include a new generic field (expecting a geopolitical code) allowing countries

to represent their own political and jurisdictional system in addition to the two levels of administrative units.


## Improved usability



**Issue:** In version 1.0, there was a recurring remark about the ambiguity of the fact that Core Location offered two different Geometry classes (Geometry and Geometry alternative representation).



**Proposition:** We therefore propose to merge these two classes into one in version 2.0 (which has no impact) in order to clarify the model.




- There was an agreement on the proposition displayed.

## Open points



### Need for Registered Address as used in Core Person, Core Business and Core Public Organisation Vocabularies (**Issues #8, #7 and #9**)

As proposed by CPV, CBV and CPOV, users may need to define a registered address (for a person, a business or a public organisation). In the earlier draft version published there was no direct relationship between Address and rdfs:Resource.



**Proposition:** Adapted the relationship between Address and rdfs:Resource. The same applies to Geometry and rdfs:Resource.

This ensures a consistent usage of the other core vocabularies and Core Location (including the use of subproperties such as registered Address)

- Do you agree with this proposition?



- There was an agreement on the proposition displayed.

## Open points

ISA<sup>2</sup>  
You click, we link



### Usage of `Location.geographicIdentifier` and `Address.administrativeUnitLevel1`, `Address.administrativeUnitLevel2` controlled vocabularies ([Issue #10](#), [#12](#)) and their data types ([Issue #11](#), [#6](#))

**Issue:** how to specify administrative units and their levels

**Proposition:** The proposed recommendation is the following:

(a) if you have a **URI** for a Location (e.g. for a NUTS area, or from Geonames etc.) use the `geographicIdentifier` property; and

(b) if you want to express it in a human-readable Address, use a **Code** or a **Text** in the administrative Unit Levels 1-2. An application profile can then mandate the use of the most granular option from LAU or NUTS or any other agreed controlled vocabulary.

- Do you agree with the proposition?
- Is a third administrative unit level needed?



**Addition of an example in the usage notes for most properties of the Address class to increase understandability.**



- SS asked if it would not be better to expect a code and a text (instead of code or text)? Reacting to this idea, Bert Van Nuffelen (BVN) wondered if this change would not lead to multiple issues with duplicated information. AR proposed to insert a new property using code as type for identifier, allowing to precise what the code is and where it comes from. GL agreed with this approach.




**Range of locn:geometry (Issue #5)**

In the [specification](#), the range of the property locn:geometry is set to the class locn:Geometry. Nevertheless, in the usage note, it is mentioned that literals and URIs are also accepted ranges (see also the examples).

We therefore propose to make the range a owl:unionOf of those three.





<code>geometry</code>	Literal, Geometry or URI	Associates any Resource with the corresponding geometry.
-----------------------	--------------------------------	--



- GL challenged the proposed change by saying that everything is already included in the Geometry class. If there is a need to use Geonames URI, the URI property in Location should be used. Therefore, the proposed resolution to this issue would be that the geometry property should always point to an instance of the Geometry class (and not to literals nor URI's).

**Core Location : your feedback**

- Do you have other points to be discussed or raised ?
- SS asked how the Core Vocabularies will be **maintained**. BVN answered that the SEMIC ISA<sup>2</sup> Core Vocabularies specification acts as the first layer (that will be located and maintained in the SEMIC ISA<sup>2</sup> space, as is). Besides, there is also a **historical dependency to the URI's from W3C** to take into account, and that will be addressed during the new release.

<p><b>Proposed changes to the Core Public Organisation</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Similarly to the Core Location Vocabulary, DS started by presenting the new version of the Core Public Organisation Vocabulary with the proposed changes included.</li> </ul> <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 5px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: center;"> <span style="background-color: #FFC000; padding: 5px 15px; font-weight: bold;">Improved usability</span>  </div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>        Addition of the <b>description</b> property to provide content to the <b>ChangeEvent</b> class (in alignment with the <b>Organization Ontology</b>).     </li> <li>        Addition of a the <b>startedAtTime</b> property to provide content to the <b>ChangeEvent</b> class (in alignment with the <b>Organization Ontology</b>).     </li> <li>        Addition of a the <b>endedAtTime</b> property to provide content to the <b>ChangeEvent</b> class (in alignment with the <b>Organization Ontology</b>).     </li> </ul>
<p>Wrap up</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SS asked when the new version of the XSD's will be released in order to support the implementation of the SDG. SVH replied that updated XSD files will be released after the summer.</li> <li>• SS also asked if the mapping editor will also be available. PF and Florian Barthélemy (FB) explained that if there was a need for this tool, the decision to publish the tool could be taken in the coming weeks / months.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Next steps</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PF thanked the participants for the quality of the conversations and presented the next steps to come.</li> </ul>

## Annex: Chat of the webinar

May 20, 2021 9:59 AM from Makx Dekkers to everyone: Good morning everyone

May 20, 2021 10:00 AM from Anna Ingram to everyone: Good morning from Denmark :-)

May 20, 2021 10:00 AM from Seth van Hooland to everyone: Morning Anna!

May 20, 2021 10:00 AM from Alexandre Beaufays to everyone: Good morning to all and welcome to our second webinar dedicated to Core Vocabularies !

May 20, 2021 10:01 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: Good morning to everyone from Italy :)

May 20, 2021 10:02 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: Good morning to everyone from Germany, today Johann Bach get's it second N :)  
<https://github.com/SEMICEu/Core-Person-Vocabulary/issues/8>

May 20, 2021 10:02 AM from Seth van Hooland to everyone: HI Giorgia!

May 20, 2021 10:03 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: Hi Seth! Nice to meet you again!

May 20, 2021 10:03 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: For CPSV in the github there is something to fix because the RDF source is the CCCEV not CPSV :) Opened an issue yesterday

May 20, 2021 10:08 AM from Alexandros Gerontas to everyone: Good morning to everyone from Greece!

May 20, 2021 10:18 AM from brecht to everyone: Do you use owl:sameAs to identify the geographic place URI?

May 20, 2021 10:19 AM from brecht to everyone: or it's more like referring to a skos:Concept?

May 20, 2021 10:20 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: +1 Makx

May 20, 2021 10:20 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: +q adminUnitLevel1 and adminUnitLevel2 still differ in data type and the current modelling would need some more explanation). How do you deal with the requirement of level lower than county?

May 20, 2021 10:21 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone:  
(<https://github.com/SEMICeu/Core-Location-Vocabulary/issues/12>)

May 20, 2021 10:21 AM from Ccile GUASCH to everyone: the word 'fixed' is used in the definition. are addresses always fixed and earthly?

May 20, 2021 10:21 AM from Dimitri Schepers to everyone: @Sebastian: That's coming up :)

May 20, 2021 10:21 AM from Anna Ingram to everyone: Just for clarification: The scope of the Core Location is exclusively spatial locations and virtual locations are not in scope

May 20, 2021 10:21 AM from Rob Walker to everyone: I don't like the definitoin of address

May 20, 2021 10:22 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: is an Address a feature according to GeoSPARQL ontology??

May 20, 2021 10:24 AM from brecht to everyone: I think so too (point representation)

May 20, 2021 10:25 AM from Bart Hanssens BE to everyone: IIRC there is discussion in BE if an address is just a label for a geo location or they other way round

May 20, 2021 10:26 AM from Alexandros Gerontas to everyone: Maybe we have to define what a spatial object is.

May 20, 2021 10:27 AM from Anna Ingram to everyone: The Core Event Vocabulary requires public events to be able to be located in a virtual place (for web conferences and webinars like this). Curently Schema.orgs Place is used. Should Core Location be able to provide a class for the Core Event Vocabulary too?

May 20, 2021 10:31 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: In GeoSPARQL ontology Spatial Object is defined as "Anything spatial (having or being a shape, position or an extent)"

May 20, 2021 10:32 AM from Alexandros Gerontas to everyone: @Georgia: That is very useful!

May 20, 2021 10:33 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: Germany is fine with L1 and L2 if it is coded right in the XSDs, but we definitely need more than this. A County like the federal state of NRW having nearly 18 Million people -> how to the Level3? (NUTS-3 region?)

May 20, 2021 10:33 AM from Thomas D'haenens to everyone: I think two things are combined here in this definition : jurisdictional rights lead very quickly to the core organisation

May 20, 2021 10:34 AM from Thomas D'haenens to everyone: a core vocabulary should remain lean in its own value; mixing cores lead to different interpretations

May 20, 2021 10:36 AM from Jerry DIMITRIOU to everyone: @sebastian NUTS3 can be applied in L2. NUTS3 contains NUTS2 etc

May 20, 2021 10:36 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: in INSPIRE there is a hierarchy to be specified

May 20, 2021 10:36 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: +q

May 20, 2021 10:37 AM from Ana Rosa ES to everyone: +1 to Sebastian

May 20, 2021 10:38 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone:  
<https://github.com/SEMICEu/Core-Location-Vocabulary/issues/12>

May 20, 2021 10:39 AM from Ana Rosa ES to everyone: We need two concepts than can be related: "Physical Address" and "Administrative Unit"

May 20, 2021 10:40 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: What Ana Rosa is saying is closer to INSPIRE model

May 20, 2021 10:40 AM from PWinstanley to everyone: +1 to the geopolitical code

May 20, 2021 10:40 AM from Jakub Klímek to everyone: +1

May 20, 2021 10:42 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: I would suggest to also look at the following guidelines <https://inspire-eu-rdf.github.io/inspire-rdf-guidelines/>

May 20, 2021 10:42 AM from Bert Van Nuffelen to everyone: I would look at if we would consider to redo the INSPIRE structural modeling or not.

May 20, 2021 10:43 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: +q addressID being string

May 20, 2021 10:43 AM from Bert Van Nuffelen to everyone: Because I assume that should cover all needs from all MS.

May 20, 2021 10:43 AM from Jakub Klímek to everyone: +1 to Bert for adoption of INSPIRE modeling, if possible

May 20, 2021 10:44 AM from Thomas D'haenens to everyone: +1 to leave it within the different cores (not in locations)

May 20, 2021 10:44 AM from Bart Hanssens BE to everyone: +1

May 20, 2021 10:45 AM from Danica to everyone: +1

May 20, 2021 10:49 AM from Ana Rosa ES to everyone: codes as 0..n of type Identifier, with the code value and the code list reference

May 20, 2021 10:49 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: From the guidelines above there is this that can help in our previous discussion: Type 'AddressRepresentation' from the 'INSPIRE Annex I - Addresses' schema shall be mapped to the RDF/OWL implementation of Type 'Address' (from that same schema). This requirement means that the 'AddressRepresentation' is not used in RDF data. Instead, a link to a real 'Address' would be used whenever address information needs to be provided.

May 20, 2021 10:50 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: +1 Ana Rosa

May 20, 2021 10:52 AM from Ana Rosa ES to everyone: The name for humans can be also 1..n Text, which includes the lang attribute

May 20, 2021 10:53 AM from Thomas D'haenens to everyone: +1

May 20, 2021 10:54 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: we had this discussion on addressID datatype 9 years ago :) <https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/collection/semantic-interoperability-community-semic/solution/core-location-vocabulary/discussion/datatype-address-and-addressid>

May 20, 2021 10:55 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: probably all things have hasID to Identifier class that then it has its own properties

May 20, 2021 10:57 AM from Jakub Klímek to everyone: Isn't the point of restructuring the Geometry class so that all the variants can be covered by it, and therefore the range of geometry can be this class?

May 20, 2021 10:58 AM from Jakub Klímek to everyone: I also don't like mixing Datatype and Object properties

May 20, 2021 10:58 AM from Peter Bruhn Andersen to everyone: +1 to Lodi

May 20, 2021 10:58 AM from Jakub Klímek to everyone: geonames URI is the geographic identifier, no?

May 20, 2021 10:59 AM from Danica to everyone: +1

May 20, 2021 10:59 AM from Jakub Klímek to everyone: +1

May 20, 2021 10:59 AM from Thomas D'haenens to everyone: +1

May 20, 2021 11:00 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: +1 for adding examples

May 20, 2021 11:01 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: +1 for having IDs modelled the same way everywhere relevant

May 20, 2021 11:03 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: nice question :)

May 20, 2021 11:03 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: What will happen with this? <https://www.w3.org/ns/locn>

May 20, 2021 11:04 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: m8g?

May 20, 2021 11:06 AM from Pavlina FRAGKOU to everyone: @sebastian: very valid point. we will try to provide examples

May 20, 2021 11:08 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: +q order is strange in changeEvent: Ended and then started, please make it more intuitive

May 20, 2021 11:08 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: :)

May 20, 2021 11:09 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: +1 thomas

May 20, 2021 11:09 AM from PWinstanley to everyone: same points here as raised earlier about relationship with W3C - Org (<https://www.w3.org/TR/vocab-org/>) and Registered Org (<https://www.w3.org/TR/vocab-regorg/>) particularly as W3C is moving to a speedier process for updating the recommendations

May 20, 2021 11:09 AM from Ana Rosa ES to everyone: +1 Thomas

May 20, 2021 11:09 AM from Jakub Klímek to everyone: Maybe the Time Ontology's TimeInterval could be used here?

May 20, 2021 11:11 AM from Ana Rosa ES to everyone: +1 Giorgia

May 20, 2021 11:12 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: in former time (4 years ago) we agreed to call the EU vocabulary "organiSation" instead of organiZation. Now we have the Z again, is this related to the alignment with the ontology?

May 20, 2021 11:12 AM from Alexandros Gerontas to everyone: +1 Giorgia

May 20, 2021 11:13 AM from Pavlina FRAGKOU to everyone: @sebastian: you are right. we will tackle this issue in a forthcoming slide

May 20, 2021 11:13 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: yep Sebastian, in Org is OrganiZation

May 20, 2021 11:13 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: ok, then the logo has to be adapted :)

May 20, 2021 11:13 AM from Peter Bruhn Andersen to everyone: From org:

May 20, 2021 11:14 AM from Pavlina FRAGKOU to everyone: @sebastian: indeed, you are right

May 20, 2021 11:14 AM from Peter Bruhn Andersen to everyone: From ORG ontology ""The time period over which the event occurred should be expressed using prov:startedAtTime and prov:endedAtTime."

May 20, 2021 11:16 AM from Peter Bruhn Andersen to everyone:  
<https://github.com/SEMICEu/CPOV/issues/9>

May 20, 2021 11:16 AM from Anna Ingram to everyone: "The PROV data model is implicitly based on a notion of instantaneous events (or just events), that mark transitions in the world.", so a transition from one status to another that has a duration?  
<https://www.w3.org/TR/prov-constraints/#events>

May 20, 2021 11:16 AM from Anna Ingram to everyone: so is a foundation a transition?

May 20, 2021 11:21 AM from Peter Bruhn Andersen to everyone: + q

May 20, 2021 11:23 AM from Ana Rosa ES to everyone: The Foundaton Event is always related to a regulation that set the foundation, at least in Spain

May 20, 2021 11:23 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: This applies also to private organisations under the private laws

May 20, 2021 11:23 AM from Jorge Sousa PT to everyone:Also in Portugal



May 20, 2021 11:24 AM from Peter Bruhn Andersen to everyone: When we use subclasses we have a better way to add needed properties. Like which organisations was part of a merger.

May 20, 2021 11:25 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: Peter this already captured using resulting Organisation or prev and next design pattern

May 20, 2021 11:25 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: this is\*

May 20, 2021 11:25 AM from Peter Bruhn Andersen to everyone: @Lodi: you are right . Forgot that :-)

May 20, 2021 11:27 AM from Anna Ingram to everyone: and cv:hasLegalResource to point to ell:LegalResource, right?

May 20, 2021 11:29 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: I think so Anna :)

May 20, 2021 11:31 AM from Bert Van Nuffelen to everyone: is the intend here to capture the location of Public Organisation or the jurisdiction of the Public Organisation?

May 20, 2021 11:32 AM from Danica to everyone: +1

May 20, 2021 11:33 AM from Danica to everyone: +

May 20, 2021 11:33 AM from Alexandros Gerontas to everyone: I think the concept of jurisdiction has 2 dimensions: 1) spatial and 2) administrative domain.

May 20, 2021 11:35 AM from Thomas D'haenens to everyone: Correct Alexandros. It was originally meant to be the first (spatial) jurisdictions. The original usage notes (fyi) : Spatial: This property links an organization to the administrative region(s) that it covers. The value of the property should be the URI of the region as defined in an authoritative list of regions. In Europe, this is likely to be the Administrative Territorial Units Named Authority List maintained by the Publications Office's Metadata Registry.

May 20, 2021 11:36 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: I think that too alexandros

May 20, 2021 11:36 AM from Jorge Sousa PT to everyone: +1 Alexandros

May 20, 2021 11:36 AM from Thomas D'haenens to everyone: +1

May 20, 2021 11:36 AM from Danica to everyone: +1

May 20, 2021 11:36 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: +1 :)

May 20, 2021 11:36 AM from Jakub Klímek to everyone: +1

May 20, 2021 11:37 AM from Peter Bruhn Andersen to everyone: remove ALL schema.org elements??

May 20, 2021 11:37 AM from Anna Ingram to everyone: Other Core Vocab also reuse elements Schema.org, so is this a general suggestion for not reusing Schema.org?

May 20, 2021 11:37 AM from PWinstanley to everyone: +1 to @Anna

May 20, 2021 11:37 AM from Jorge Sousa PT to everyone:+1 Sebastian

May 20, 2021 11:37 AM from PWinstanley to everyone: I think it should be

May 20, 2021 11:38 AM from Jakub Klímek to everyone: often enough schema.org actually "ingested" other vocabularies - so it might be possible to use the original ones, such as GoodRelations for OpeningHoursSpecification

May 20, 2021 11:38 AM from PWinstanley to everyone: there can be specific cross-walks developed to link to schema

May 20, 2021 11:38 AM from Ana Rosa ES to everyone: Can be used social media accounts (Twitter, FB, ..)?

May 20, 2021 11:38 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: exactly Makx

May 20, 2021 11:39 AM from PWinstanley to everyone: The EU is a huge organisation and should be defining standards.

May 20, 2021 11:39 AM from PWinstanley to everyone: otherwise, it's the tail wagging the dog

May 20, 2021 11:39 AM from Jorge Sousa PT to everyone:+1 jakub

May 20, 2021 11:40 AM from Anna Ingram to everyone: if there is not enough confidence in Schema.org, then you need to address its reuse generally in the other EU vocabularies

May 20, 2021 11:40 AM from Anna Ingram to everyone: e.g. Core Event Vocabulary

May 20, 2021 11:40 AM from Anna Ingram to everyone: maybe others

May 20, 2021 11:40 AM from Anna Ingram to everyone: \*->maybe

May 20, 2021 11:40 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: I think that Core Public Event Vocabulary should be revised based on these comments

May 20, 2021 11:40 AM from Jakub Klímek to everyone: true, if we can provide better maintenance, then we can create our own and "map" those to the original ones

May 20, 2021 11:41 AM from Pavlina FRAGKOU to everyone: @Anna: good point. we will take it under consideration

May 20, 2021 11:41 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: +1 Jakub

May 20, 2021 11:41 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: +1 not to rely on blue chips standardisation for cross border egovernment

May 20, 2021 11:41 AM from Pavlina FRAGKOU to everyone: @giorgia: true, good suggestion, it was never officially released

May 20, 2021 11:42 AM from Thomas D'haenens to everyone: +1 to issue #12

May 20, 2021 11:44 AM from Riitta Alkula to everyone: +1 to issue #12

May 20, 2021 11:44 AM from PWinstanley to everyone: ISO 11179 provides guidance on definitions

May 20, 2021 11:44 AM from Anna Ingram to everyone: also ISO 704

May 20, 2021 11:44 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: two general things before the meeting ends: 1) can you give us a fixed date when revised XSDs of Core vocabulary Person and location will be published and hosted on the namespace? We need clarity for our national SDG once only implementing project - currently we use the versions 1.0 and anticipate that some of the tickets raised will be put in (mainly incomplete names and dates)

May 20, 2021 11:45 AM from PWinstanley to everyone:  
<https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso-iec:11179:-1:ed-3:v1:en> Parts 4 and 5

May 20, 2021 11:46 AM from Anna Ingram to everyone: do look towards Eurovoc and IATE

May 20, 2021 11:46 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: I agree but as an additional feature

May 20, 2021 11:47 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: eurovoc has some strange translations sometimes

May 20, 2021 11:47 AM from Ana Rosa ES to everyone: +1 Sebastian

May 20, 2021 11:48 AM from PWinstanley to everyone: Also, care should be taken to ensure that in the documentation any RDF examples can be inserted into something like <http://semantechs.co.uk/turtle-editor-viewer/> and be error-free

May 20, 2021 11:48 AM from Alexandros Gerontas to everyone: Can we have access to this presentation after the webinar?

May 20, 2021 11:48 AM from PWinstanley to everyone: It's surprising how often punctuation in turtle is faulty

May 20, 2021 11:49 AM from Bert Van Nuffelen to everyone: yes the presentation and the recording will be shared.

May 20, 2021 11:49 AM from Alexandros Gerontas to everyone: Thank you!

May 20, 2021 11:49 AM from Anna Ingram to everyone: There is indeed a great overlap between public organisation and buisnesses

May 20, 2021 11:50 AM from Seth van Hooland to everyone: I can answer the first question

May 20, 2021 11:51 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: 2) Mapping editor exists for CSVP. Do you think MS can use the mapping editor to document national mappings?

May 20, 2021 11:52 AM from Riitta Alkula to everyone: in Finland we have visualized core vocabularies with our interoperability platform: <https://tietomallit.suomi.fi/model/isa2core/>

May 20, 2021 11:53 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: I refered to <https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/collection/semantic-interoperability-community-semic/solution/cpsv-ap-mapping-editor>

May 20, 2021 11:53 AM from Riitta Alkula to everyone: Our platfor contains all Core Vocs in one data model.

May 20, 2021 11:54 AM from PWinstanley to everyone: I have to drop off. Good by everyone. Thanks for a good meeting

May 20, 2021 11:54 AM from Bart Hanssens BE to everyone: or just create skos files manually :-)

May 20, 2021 11:54 AM from Bart Hanssens BE to everyone: vocbench perhaps ?

May 20, 2021 11:54 AM from enric staromiejski everis-nttdata to everyone: Have to leave....Many Thanks for the Webinar. Very interesting.

May 20, 2021 11:56 AM from Alexandros Gerontas to everyone: Thank you for this very interesting webinar! Have a nice afternoon!

May 20, 2021 11:57 AM from Danica (privately): fruitful meeting, thank you :) KRs

May 20, 2021 11:57 AM from Jorge Sousa PT to everyone: Thank you for an excellent webminar

May 20, 2021 11:57 AM from Olivier DEBONDT to everyone: Thank you,

May 20, 2021 11:58 AM from Sebastian Sklarß DE to everyone: thank you

May 20, 2021 11:58 AM from Florian Barthelemy to everyone: Thank you everyone for your active participation!

May 20, 2021 11:58 AM from giampaolo sellitto to everyone: Thanks, bye - excellent webinar

May 20, 2021 11:58 AM from Giorgia Lodi - ISTC-CNR Italy to everyone: Thanks a lot for another very interesting webinar! :) Bye bye!

May 20, 2021 11:58 AM from Dimitri Schepers to everyone: Thanks everyone for the valuable input and enjoy lunch!

May 20, 2021 11:58 AM from Anna Ingram to everyone: bye

May 20, 2021 11:58 AM from MT - Norman Calleja to everyone: thanks

May 20, 2021 11:58 AM from Tamás Demeter HU to everyone: Thank you! Bye!

May 20, 2021 11:58 AM from Bart Hanssens BE to everyone: bye

May 20, 2021 11:58 AM from kitano to everyone: Thank you very much!

May 20, 2021 11:58 AM from Alessandra Pieroni to everyone: thank you bye

May 20, 2021 11:58 AM from Riitta Alkula to everyone: thanks!

May 20, 2021 11:58 AM from Ana Rosa ES to everyone: Bye Thanks a lot!