

# DCAT-AP Workshop

## Relationships

13/05/2016

# DCAT-AP Guideline | “Dataset Series”

*Users are interested in...*

## *1. Individual members*

---

- Describe as separate **Datasets**
- Describe dataset using **dct:type**, link to members using **dct:hasPart**
- Link individual members back using **dct:isPartOf**

## *2. Series as such*

---

- Describe members as **Distributions** of a single Dataset.

## *3. Sequence*

---

- Use **dct:hasVersion** and **dct:isVersionOf**
- Put a **versioning scheme** in place
- Use **adms:versionNotes** for describing differences

## Discussion | Types of relationships & groupings

*"Which relationships do **data providers** need to express?"*  
*"How do **users** want to see datasets grouped for better discoverability and understanding?"*



### **Evolutionary** relation

***Versioning.** Each new version has newer data and replaces the precedent one, which turns obsolete and remains there for reference only*



### **Time** series

***Sequence of points** in time observations*



### Datasets with **parts**

***Parent** datasets with multiple **child** sub-datasets*



### **Collections**

***Grouping** datasets under one umbrella, based on different dimensions, e.g. geography, or use cases*

## Discussion | Instructions for modeling



Keep prevalent **user expectations** in mind

**Keep it simple** and not too theoretical, so that it can be properly used by portal providers.

There is **no** need for **one size fits all**

**Comply** to the current **DCAT-AP** version, using existing classes, types and properties.

Usage of the parameters **dct:hasPart** and **dct:isPartOf**

*"Should we give preference to the **modelling as datasets** and reserve **distributions** for file formats and language versions?"*