# DCAT-AP issues 31 August 2015

Makx Dekkers/2015-08-31

# Issues related to Agent roles

# 1. Semantics of Authority

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat application profile/issue/semantics-authority

Analysis: Neither dct:rightsHolder nor dct:creator fits the semantics perfectly. However, it seems that the intended semantics of Authority are closer to the semantics of dct:creator than to dct:rightsHolder. For example, the Authority might be a particular agency while the rights could be held by a higher entity (e.g. the Crown). If the usage note were to be changed from "This property refers to the authority under whose responsibility the Dataset is made available" to "This property refers to the authority under whose responsibility the Dataset was created" the match with dct:creator might be more obvious.

Propose: Change usage note for the property authority (dct:creator) to "This property refers to the authority under whose responsibility the Dataset was created".

# Clarification of Agent roles

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat application profile/issue/clarification-agent-roles

# 3. Define new property for type of contributor

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat application profile/issue/define-new-property-type-contributor

#### Add dct:contributor to Dataset

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat application profile/issue/add-dctcontributor-dataset

Analysis: Taking these three together, it should be noted that the current DCAT-AP only allows expression of two roles, creator/authority and publisher.

See also discussion on Agent roles for GeoDCAT.

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat application profile/issue/qeodcat-ap-how-express-different-responsible-party-roles-suppor.

The decision taken in the GeoDCAT Working Group is that the basic profile only contains the two properties dct:creator and dct:publisher, and that an extended profile includes a mechanism based on the PROV ontology in which the role is expressed using the INSPIRE type vocabulary. Please note that dcat:contactPoint is not an Agent role; it is a mechanism to provide contact information.

Proposal: Add a section about Agent roles to explain that (a) the basic profile only allows the expression of those two roles which is a minimum requirement to ensure cross-domain interoperability and (b) in line with the approach decided by the GeoDCAT group, domain-specific extensions can use a PROV-based mechanism with the use of a domain-specific role vocabulary like the ones defined for INSPIRE, DataCite and MARC (<a href="http://id.loc.gov/vocabulary/relators">http://id.loc.gov/vocabulary/relators</a>, described at <a href="http://loc.gov/marc/relators/relat

# Issues needing clarifications

#### Use of Checksum class

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat\_application\_profile/issue/use-checksum-class

Analysis: The Checksum class is optional with two mandatory properties.

Proposal: Add a note in the conformance statement section that recommended and optional classes may have mandatory properties, but that those only apply if and when an instance of such a class is present in a description.

#### Recommended class: MUST or SHOULD

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat\_application\_profile/issue/recommended-class-must-or-should

Analysis: Discussion has taken place, and new text has been proposed.

Proposal: Modify the text for Recommended class to "a sender of data SHOULD provide information about instances of the class; a sender of data MUST provide information about instances of the class, if such information is available."

#### 7. Licence types (mapping creating overhead)

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat application profile/issue/licence-types

#### 8. Licence types (semantics)

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat application profile/issue/licence-types-0

Analysis: Issues related to licence types have been discussed before in issue <a href="https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat-application-profile/issue/pr23-remove-licence-type-licence-document">https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat-application-profile/issue/pr23-remove-licence-type-licence-document</a>. The decision was that the licence type should not be dropped. The controlled vocabulary that must be used was agreed between W3C and the European Commission.

Proposal: No change

#### 9. Clarify target for dcat:landingPage

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat\_application\_profile/issue/clarify-target-dcatlandingpage

Analysis: A clarification can be added if it is indeed agreed that this is what the property should link to.

Proposal: Add clarification "dcat:landingPage is intended to point to a landing page at the original data provider, not to a page on a site of a third party, such as an aggregator" in section 4.3.3.

#### 10. Drop maximum cardinality constraint on dcat:landingPage

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat application profile/issue/drop-maximum-cardinality-constraint-dcatlandingpage

Analysis: This cardinality can be relaxed if there is consensus that this is useful.

Proposal: Change cardinality of dcat:landingPage to 0..n.

#### 11. Values for dct:language

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat\_application\_profile/issue/values-dctlanguage

Analysis: The draft is quite clear that the values should be references to the MDR Languages NAL and not codes from ISO 639.

Proposal: Add a note in section 8 to highlight the fact that all occurrences of dct:language must have a URI as their object, not a literal string from the ISO 639 code list.

12. Distinguish controlled vocabularies for dcat:theme and dcat:themeTaxonomy <a href="https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat-application-profile/issue/distinguish-controlled-vocabularies-dcattheme-and-dcatthemetaxo">https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat-application-profile/issue/distinguish-controlled-vocabularies-dcattheme-and-dcatthemetaxo</a>

Analysis: The object of the property dcat:themeTaxonomy should be the URI of the vocabulary, while the object of the property dcat:theme should be the URI of one of the terms in the vocabulary. The current draft does not make this distinction clear.

Proposal: Add clarification in the table.

### 13. Controlled vocabulary for type of adms:Identifier

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat\_application\_profile/issue/controlled-vocabulary-type-admsidentifier

Analysis: The namespace schema for ADMS <a href="http://www.w3.org/ns/adms">http://www.w3.org/ns/adms</a> defines the way the identifier scheme is expressed as follows: "the content string should be provided using skos:notation, datatyped with the identifier scheme". ADMS does not identify the URI set to be used for the references to the identifier schemes, and the DataCite list could be used for this if it is considered sufficiently general.

Proposal: Add section in chapter 4 to describe adms:Identifier with mandatory property skos:notation and datatype reference from DataCite list.

#### 14. Vocabularies with draft status

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat\_application\_profile/issue/vocabularies-draft-status

Analysis: All controlled vocabularies that DCAT-AP declares mandatory must be publicly available in final form from a stable URI.

Proposal: Verify that all vocabularies in the mandatory list are stable and remove all draft vocabularies from the list.

#### 15. Publish and use publicly available vocabularies

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat application profile/issue/publish-and-use-publicly-available-vocabularies

Analysis: This is useful advice.

Proposal: Add a sentence to section 5.3 to encourage the publication and use of publicly available vocabularies.

#### 16. Mandatory vocabularies

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat application profile/issue/mandatory-vocabularies

Analysis: The declaration of some controlled vocabularies as mandatory is done to enable a minimum level of interoperability. Any implementation is free to use controlled vocabularies in addition to the ones that are mandatory, e.g. in a national extension, but those may not always be understood beyond national borders.

Proposal: Add further clarification in section 5.3 to explain that the objective of making vocabularies mandatory is to ensure minimal interoperability.

17. Add MDR Continents NAL to the recommended vocabularies for spatial coverage <a href="https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat">https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat</a> application profile/issue/add-mdr-continents-nal-recommended-vocabularies-spatial-coverag

Analysis: Vocabulary can be added if there is consensus that this is useful.

Proposal: Add MDR Continents NAL in section 5.2 for dct:spatial.

## Issues not to fix

#### 18. Literal and Resource as Mandatory classes

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat\_application\_profile/issue/literal-and-resource-mandatory-classes

Analysis: These two classes are included in the table of mandatory classes for completeness; all classes mentioned in mandatory properties of mandatory classes are listed here.

Proposal: No change

#### 19. Licence Documents and Rights Statements

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat\_application\_profile/issue/licence-documents-and-rights-statements

Analysis: The way that statements concerning licences and rights might be related is left open in the specification. Further description of such relationships might be the subject of implementation guidelines.

Proposal: No change.

#### 20. Rights on Distribution, Access rights on Dataset

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat application profile/issue/rights-distribution-access-rights-dataset

Analysis: The addition of dct:accessRights to Dataset was the result of earlier discussion (<a href="https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat-application-profile/issue/pr2-add-new-property-dataset-indicate-whether-dataset-public-re">https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat-application-profile/issue/pr2-add-new-property-dataset-indicate-whether-dataset-public-re</a>). DCAT lists dct:rights on Distribution.

Proposal: No change.

#### 21. Licence on Distribution

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat\_application\_profile/issue/licence-distribution\_

Analysis: The usage in DCAT-AP is in line with DCAT.

Proposal: No change.

#### 22. Frequency vocabulary

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat application profile/issue/frequency-vocabulary

Analysis: The question is whether it is wrong to use references to things that are not declared to be dct:Frequency in tFhe absence of an explicit assertion that the classes are disjunct. Note that the same issue applies to the use of other NALs (e.g. Countries, Languages) where elements are declared to be skos:Concepts.

Proposal: No change.

#### 23. Exclude Regions, cities from theme vocabulary

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat application profile/issue/exclude-regions-cities-theme-vocabulary

Analysis: The term to be used as object of dcat:theme is an instance of the class skos:Concept while terms to be used as objects of dct:spatial are instances of the class dct:Location. There seems to be no contradiction: the theme of a Dataset could be "Regions, cities" in general while the object of dct:spatial would be the URI of a specific city or region.

Proposal: No change.

# **Editorial issues**

## 24. Naming Issue - Catalogue vs. Catalog

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat application profile/issue/naming-issue-catalogue-vs-catalog

Analysis: Checked whole document and found no errors

# 25. Proposal: No change Errors in UML Class Diagram

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat\_application\_profile/issue/errors-uml-class-diagram

Analysis: adms:contactPoint should be dcat:contactPoint; dct:theme should be dcat:theme.

Proposal: Change as suggested.

#### 26. Errors in Annex I Quick reference

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat application profile/issue/errors-annex-i-quick-reference

Analysis: There are indeed errors in the Annex.

Proposal: Verify and correct.

# 27. Order of attributes in class diagram dct:PeriodOfTime

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat\_application\_profile/issue/order-attributes-class-diagramdctperiodoftime

Analysis: Sequence startDate, endDate looks indeed more logical.

Proposal: Change as suggested.